arXiv:1411.6474v1 [math-ph] 24 Nov 2014

Fick's Law for the Lorentz Model in a weak coupling regime

Alessia Nota

Abstract In this paper we deal with further recent developments, strictly connected to the result obtained in [BNPP]. We consider the Lorentz gas out of equilibrium in a weak coupling regime. Each obstacle of the Lorentz gas generates a smooth radially symmetric potential with compact support. We prove that the macroscopic current in the stationary state is given by the Fick's law of diffusion. The diffusion coefficient is given by the Green-Kubo formula associated to the generator of the diffusion process dictated by the linear Landau equation.

1 Introduction

The understanding of transport phenomena of nonequilibrium thermodynamics starting form the microscopic dynamics is one of the most challenging problem in statistical mechanics.

Nonequilibrium stationary states describe the state of a mechanical system driven and maintained out of equilibrium. Their main characteristic is that they commonly exhibit transport phenomena. They sustain steady flows (e.g. energy flow, particles flow or momentum flow) and the usually conserved quantities (mass, momentum and energy) flow in response to a gradient. For instance the heat flow and the mass flow appear in response to a temperature gradient and a concentration gradient respectively. These processes are well described by phenomenological linear laws, the Fourier's and Fick's law respectively.

In the current literature there are very few rigorous results concerning the derivation of the these phenomenological laws from a microscopic model (see for instance

Alessia Nota
Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma La Sapienza
Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma – Italy , e-mail: nota@mat.uniroma1.it

[LS], [LS1], [LS2]). A contribution in this direction is the validation of the Fick's law for the Lorentz model in a low density situation which has been recently proven in [BNPP]. To consider the system out of equilibrium, in [BNPP], they study the Lorentz gas in a bounded region in the plane and couple the system with two mass reservoirs at the boundaries. More precisely they consider the slice $\Lambda = (0,L) \times \mathbb{R}$ in the plane. In the left half plane there is a free gas of light particles at density ρ_1, in the right half plane there is a free gas of light particles at density ρ_2 which play the role of mass reservoirs. The light particles are not interacting among themselves. Inside Λ there is a Poisson distribution of intensity μ of hard core scatterers. The light particles flow through the boundaries and are elastically reflected by the scatterers. For this model they prove the existence of a stationary state for which

$$J \approx -D\nabla\rho \tag{1}$$

where J is the mass current, ρ is the mass density and $D > 0$ is the diffusion coefficient. Formula (1) is the well known Fick's law whose validity has been proven in [BNPP]. We remind that according to the low-density regime considered they can use the linear Boltzmann equation as a bridge between the original mechanical system and the diffusion equation. This strategy works since they provide an explicit control of the error in the kinetic limit which suggests the scale of times for which the diffusive limit can be achieved. The result is presented in a two dimensional setting but it holds in dimension higher than two. The two dimensional case is the most interesting to analyze since the pathologic configurations preventing the Markovianity on a kinetic scale are harder to estimate in this case.

We may wonder if the same result could be achieved if we slightly modify the model. We consider the same geometry described above but inside Λ now we have a Poisson distribution of scatterers which are no longer hard cores. We assume that each obstacle generates a smooth, radial, short-range potential. In the same spirit as in [BNP], [ESY], we scale the range of the interaction and the density of the scatterers according to

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^\alpha \phi(\tfrac{x}{\varepsilon}), \\ &\mu_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-(2\alpha+\lambda+1)}\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

with $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\lambda > 0$.

The scaling (2) means that the kinetic regime describes the system for kinetic times $O(1)$ (i.e. $\lambda = 0$). Observe that when $\lambda = 0$ the limiting cases $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1/2$ correspond respectively to the low density limit and the weak-coupling limit. In the intermediate scale between the low density and the weak-coupling regime the kinetic equation that appears in the limit is the linear Landau equation. One can go further to diffusive times provided that $\lambda > 0$ is not too large. The intermediate level of description between the mechanical system and the diffusion equation is given by

the linear Landau equation with a divergent factor in front of the collision operator. Since the scale of time for which the system diffuses should not prevent the Markov property, there is a constraint on λ. More precisely there exists a threshold $\lambda_0 = \lambda(\alpha)$, emerging from the explicit estimate of the set of pathological configurations producing memory effects, s.t. for $\lambda < \lambda(\alpha)$, the microscopic solution of the time dependent problem converges to the solution of the heat equation in the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$. We refer to [BNP], Section 6, for further details. The result mentioned above concerns the time dependent problem. In this paper we deal with the stationary problem and provide a rigorous derivation of Fick's law of diffusion for this model. We prove that there exists a unique stationary solution for the microscopic dynamics which converges to the stationary solution of the heat equation, namely to the linear profile of the density. We underline that in order to obtain the stationary solution of the microscopic dynamics we need to characterize the stationary solution of the linear Landau equation. To handle this problem we will use the analysis of the time dependent problem and the explicit solution of the heat equation.

2 The model and main results

Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the strip $(0,L) \times \mathbb{R}$. We consider a Poisson distribution of fixed disks (scatterers) of radius ε in Λ and denote by $c_1, \dots, c_N \in \Lambda$ their centers. This means that, given $\mu > 0$, the probability density of finding N obstacles in a bounded measurable set $A \subset \Lambda$ is

$$\mathbb{P}(d\mathbf{c}_N) = e^{-\mu|A|} \frac{\mu^N}{N!} dc_1 \dots dc_N \tag{3}$$

where $|A| = \text{meas}A$ and $\mathbf{c}_N = (c_1, \dots, c_N)$. Since the modulus of the velocity of the test particle is constant, we assume it to be equal to one, so that the phase space of our system is $\Lambda \times S_1$.

We rescale the intensity μ of the obstacles as

$$\mu_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-2\alpha-1}\varepsilon^{-\lambda}\mu, \quad \alpha \in (0,1/8), \lambda > 0$$

where, from now on, $\mu > 0$ is fixed. More precisely we make the following assumption.

Assumption 1 *We set $\gamma = 1 - 8(\alpha + \lambda/2)$, the parameter λ is such that as $\varepsilon \to 0$,*

$$\varepsilon^{\gamma - 4\lambda} \to 0, \tag{4}$$

namely $\lambda < \frac{1-8\alpha}{8}$.

Accordingly, we denote by $\mathbb{P}_\varepsilon$ the probability density (3) with μ replaced by μ_ε. $\mathbb{E}_\varepsilon$ will be the expectation with respect to the measure $\mathbb{P}_\varepsilon$.

We now introduce a radial potential $\phi(r)$ such that

- $\phi \in C^2([0,1])$,
- $\phi(0) > 0$ and $r \to \phi(r)$ is strictly decreasing in $[0,1]$.

We rescale the intensity of the interaction potential as

$$\phi \to \varepsilon^\alpha \phi.$$

Then the Equations of motion are

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = v \\ \dot{v} = -\varepsilon^{\alpha-1} \sum_i \nabla\phi(\frac{|x-c_i|}{\varepsilon}) \end{cases}. \tag{5}$$

For a given configuration of obstacles $\mathbf{c}_N$, we denote by $T^{-t}_{\mathbf{c}_N}(x,v)$ the (backward) flow, solution of (5), with initial datum $(x,v) \in \Lambda \times S_1$ and define $t-\tau$, $\tau = \tau(x,v,t,\mathbf{c}_N)$, as the first (backward) hitting time with the boundary. We use the notation $\tau = 0$ to indicate the event such that the trajectory $T^{-s}_{\mathbf{c}_N}(x,v)$, $s \in [0,t]$, never hits the boundary. For any $t \geq 0$ the one-particle correlation function reads

$$f_\varepsilon(x,v,t) = \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon[f_B(T^{-(t-\tau)}_{\mathbf{c}_N}(x,v))\chi(\tau > 0)] + \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon[f_0(T^{-t}_{\mathbf{c}_N}(x,v))\chi(\tau = 0)], \tag{6}$$

where $f_0 \in L^\infty(\Lambda \times S_1)$ and the boundary value f_B is defined by

$$f_B(x,v) := \begin{cases} \rho_1 M(v) & \text{if} \quad x \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad v_1 > 0, \\ \rho_2 M(v) & \text{if} \quad x \in \{L\} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad v_1 < 0, \end{cases}$$

with $M(v)$ the density of the uniform distribution on S_1 and $\rho_1, \rho_2 > 0$. Here v_1 denotes the horizontal component of the velocity v. Without loss of generality we assume $\rho_2 > \rho_1$. Since $M(v) = \frac{1}{2\pi}$, from now on we will absorb it in the definition of the boundary values ρ_1, ρ_2. Therefore we set

$$f_B(x,v) := \begin{cases} \rho_1 & \text{if} \quad x \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad v_1 > 0, \\ \rho_2 & \text{if} \quad x \in \{L\} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad v_1 < 0. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

We are interested in the stationary solutions f^S_ε of the above problem. More precisely $f^S_\varepsilon(x,v)$ solves

$$f^S_\varepsilon(x,v) = \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon[f_B(T^{-(t-\tau)}_{\mathbf{c}_N}(x,v))\chi(\tau > 0)] + \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon[f^S_\varepsilon(T^{-t}_{\mathbf{c}_N}(x,v))\chi(\tau = 0)]. \tag{8}$$

The main result of the present paper can be summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *For ε sufficiently small there exists a unique L^∞ stationary solution f_ε^S for the microscopic dynamics (i.e. satisfying* (8)*). Moreover, as $\varepsilon \to 0$*

$$f_\varepsilon^S \to \rho^S, \tag{9}$$

where ρ^S is the stationary solution of the heat equation with the following boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \rho^S(x) = \rho_1, & x \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \rho^S(x) = \rho_2, & x \in \{L\} \times \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

The convergence is in $L^2((0,L) \times S_1)$.

Some remarks on the above Theorem are in order. The boundary conditions of the problem depend on the space variable only through the horizontal component. As a consequence, the stationary solution f_ε^S of the microscopic problem, as well as the stationary solution ρ^S of the heat equation, inherits the same feature. This justifies the convergence in $L^2((0,L) \times S_1)$ instead of in $L^2(\Lambda \times S_1)$. The explicit expression for the stationary solution ρ^S reads

$$\rho^S(x) = \frac{\rho_1(L - x_1) + \rho_2 x_1}{L}, \tag{11}$$

where x_1 is the horizontal component of the space variable x. We note that in order to prove Theorem 1 it is enough to assume that $\varepsilon^{\gamma - 3\lambda} \to 0$, i.e. $\lambda < \frac{1-8\alpha}{7}$. The stronger Assumption 1 is needed to prove Theorem 2 below.

Next, to discuss the Fick's law, we introduce the stationary mass flux

$$J_\varepsilon^S(x) = \varepsilon^{-\lambda} \int_{S_1} v f_\varepsilon^S(x,v)\, dv, \tag{12}$$

and the stationary mass density

$$\rho_\varepsilon^S(x) = \int_{S_1} f_\varepsilon^S(x,v)\, dv. \tag{13}$$

Note that J_ε^S is the total amount of mass flowing through a unit area in a unit time interval. Although in a stationary problem there is no typical time scale, the factor $\varepsilon^{-\lambda}$ appearing in the definition of J_ε^S, is reminiscent of the time scaling necessary to obtain a diffusive limit.

Theorem 2 (Fick's law). *We have*

$$J_\varepsilon^S + D \nabla_x \rho_\varepsilon^S \to 0 \tag{14}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The convergence is in $\mathrm{d}'(0,L)$ and $D > 0$ is given by the Green-Kubo formula

$$D = \frac{2}{\mu} \int_{S_1} v \cdot \left(-\Delta_{|v|}^{-1} \right) v \, dv. \tag{15}$$

Moreover

$$J^S = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} J_\varepsilon^S(x), \tag{16}$$

where the convergence is in $L^2(0,L)$ and

$$J^S = -D \nabla \rho^S = -D \frac{\rho_2 - \rho_1}{L}, \tag{17}$$

where ρ^S is the linear profile (11).

Observe that, as expected by physical arguments, the stationary flux J^S does not depend on the space variable. Furthermore the diffusion coefficient D is determined by the behavior of the system at equilibrium and in particular it is equal to the diffusion coefficient for the time dependent problem.

3 Proofs

In order to prove Theorem 1 our strategy is the following. We introduce the stationary linear Landau equation

$$\begin{cases} \left(v \cdot \nabla_x\right) g_\varepsilon^S(x,v) = \varepsilon^{-\lambda} \mathscr{L} g_\varepsilon^S(x,v), \\ g_\varepsilon^S(x,v) = \rho_1, \quad x \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad v_1 > 0, \\ g_\varepsilon^S(x,v) = \rho_2, \quad x \in \{L\} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad v_1 < 0, \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

where $\mathscr{L} = \frac{\mu}{2} \Delta_{|v|}$ and $\Delta_{|v|}$ is the Laplace Beltrami operator on the circle of radius $|v| = 1$, namely S_1. Moreover we introduce the stationary linear Boltzmann equation

$$\begin{cases} \left(v \cdot \nabla_x\right) h_\varepsilon^S(x,v) = \varepsilon^{-\lambda} \mathrm{L}_\varepsilon h_\varepsilon^S(x,v), \\ h_\varepsilon^S(x,v) = \rho_1, \quad x \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad v_1 > 0, \\ h_\varepsilon^S(x,v) = \rho_2, \quad x \in \{L\} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad v_1 < 0, \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

where $\mathrm{L}_\varepsilon := \varepsilon^{-2\alpha} \mathrm{L}$ and L is the linear Boltzmann operator defined as

$$\mathrm{L} f(v) = \mu \int_{-1}^{1} d\rho \left[f(v') - f(v) \right], \qquad f \in L^1(S_1) \tag{20}$$

with

$$v' = v - 2(\omega \cdot v)\omega \tag{21}$$

and ω is the unit vector bisecting the angle between the incoming velocity v and the outgoing velocity v' as specified in Figure 1.



Fig. 1 The scattering problem

Since the boundary conditions depend on the space variable only trough the horizontal component, the stationary solution h_ε^S and g_ε^S inherit the same feature, as well as f_ε^S and ρ^S.

The strategy of the proof consists of two steps. First we prove that there exists a unique g_ε^S which converges, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, to ρ^S given by (11). See Proposition 4 below. Secondly we show that there exists a unique f_ε^S asymptotically equivalent to g_ε^S. See Proposition 7 below. This result is achieved using two steps. The first one concerns the convergence of f_ε^S towards h_ε^S, the stationary solution of the linear Boltzmann equation, by showing that the memory effects of the mechanical system, preventing the Markovianity, are indeed negligible. The second one concerns the grazing collision limit which guarantees the asymptotic equivalence of h_ε^S and g_ε^S.

Let g_ε be the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \big(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x\big) g_\varepsilon(x,v,t) = \varepsilon^{-\lambda}\, \mathscr{L} g_\varepsilon(x,v,t), \\ g_\varepsilon(x,v,0) = f_0(x,v), \qquad f_0 \in L^\infty(\Lambda \times S_1), \\ g_\varepsilon(x,v,t) = \rho_1, \quad x \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad v_1 > 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ g_\varepsilon(x,v,t) = \rho_2, \quad x \in \{L\} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad v_1 < 0, \quad t \geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

We can write $g_\varepsilon(t)$ as the sum of two contributions, one due to the backward trajectories hitting the boundary and the other one due to the trajectories which never leave Λ. Therefore we set

$$g_\varepsilon(x,v,t) = g_\varepsilon^{out}(x,v,t) + g_\varepsilon^{in}(x,v,t).$$

Observe that g_ε^{out} solves

$$\begin{cases} \big(\partial_t + v\cdot\nabla_x\big) g_\varepsilon^{out}(x,v,t) = \varepsilon^{-\lambda}\,\mathscr{L} g_\varepsilon^{out}(x,v,t), \\ g_\varepsilon^{out}(x,v,0) = 0, \qquad x\in\Lambda, \\ g_\varepsilon^{out}(x,v,t) = \rho_1, \qquad x\in\{0\}\times\mathbb{R}, \quad v_1>0, \quad t\geq 0, \\ g_\varepsilon^{out}(x,v,t) = \rho_2, \qquad x\in\{L\}\times\mathbb{R}, \quad v_1<0, \quad t\geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

We set $\tilde{\mathscr{L}} := \varepsilon^{-\lambda}\,\mathscr{L} - v\cdot\nabla_x$. Let $G_\varepsilon^0(t)$ be the semigroup whose generator is the operator $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}$, i.e. $G_\varepsilon^0(t) = e^{t\tilde{\mathscr{L}}}$. Hence

$$g_\varepsilon^{in}(t) = G_\varepsilon^0(t) f_0.$$

We observe that g_ε^S, solution of (18), satysfies, for $t_0>0$

$$g_\varepsilon^S = g_\varepsilon^{out}(t_0) + G_\varepsilon^0(t_0) g_\varepsilon^S,$$

so that we can formally express g_ε^S as the Neumann series

$$g_\varepsilon^S = \sum_{n\geq 0} (G_\varepsilon^0(t_0))^n g_\varepsilon^{out}(t_0). \tag{24}$$

We now establish existence and uniqueness of g_ε^S by showing that the Neumann series (28) converges. In order to do it we extend the action of the semigroup $G_\varepsilon^0(t)$ to the space $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\times S_1)$, namely

$$G_\varepsilon^0(t)\ell_0(x,v) = \chi_\Lambda(x)\tilde{G}_\varepsilon^0(t)\ell_0(x,v)$$

for any $\ell_0(x,v)\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2\times S_1)$. Here χ_Λ is the characteristic function of Λ and $\tilde{G}_\varepsilon^0$ is the extension of the semigroup to the whole space $\mathbb{R}^2\times S_1$. For the sake of simplicity from now on we set $\tilde{G}_\varepsilon^0 := G_\varepsilon^0$.

As we proved in [BNPP], the same technique works for h_ε, solution of the following Boltzmann equation

$$\begin{cases} \big(\partial_t + v\cdot\nabla_x\big)h_\varepsilon(x,v,t) = \varepsilon^{-\lambda}\,\mathrm{L}_\varepsilon h_\varepsilon(x,v,t), \\ h_\varepsilon(x,v,0) = f_0(x,v), \qquad f_0 \in L^\infty(\Lambda\times S_1), \\ h_\varepsilon(x,v,t) = \rho_1, \quad x\in\{0\}\times\mathbb{R}, \quad v_1>0, \quad t\geq 0, \\ h_\varepsilon(x,v,t) = \rho_2, \quad x\in\{L\}\times\mathbb{R}, \quad v_1<0, \quad t\geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

The solution h_ε of the problem (25) has the following explicit representation

$$\begin{aligned} h_\varepsilon(x,v,t) = &\sum_{N\geq 0}(\mu_\varepsilon\varepsilon)^N \int_0^t dt_1 \dots \int_0^{t_{N-1}} dt_N \\ &\int_{-1}^1 d\rho_1 \dots \int_{-1}^1 d\rho_N\, \chi(\tau<t_N)\chi(\tau>0)\, e^{-2\mu_\varepsilon\varepsilon(t-\tau)}\, f_B(\gamma^{-(t-\tau)}(x,v)) + \\ &+\sum_{N\geq 0} e^{-2\mu_\varepsilon\varepsilon t}\,(\mu_\varepsilon\varepsilon)^N \int_0^t dt_1 \dots \int_0^{t_{N-1}} dt_N \\ &\int_{-1}^1 d\rho_1 \dots \int_{-1}^1 d\rho_N\, \chi(\tau=0)\, f_0(\gamma^{-t}(x,v)), \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

with f_B defined in (7). Given $x,v,t_1\dots t_N$, $\rho_1\dots\rho_N$, $\gamma^{-t}(x,v)$ denotes the trajectory whose position and velocity are

$$(x - v(t-t_1) - v_1(t_1-t_2)\cdots - v_N t_N, v_N).$$

The transitions $v\to v_1\to v_2\cdots\to v_N$ are obtained by means of a scattering with an hard disk with impact parameter ρ_i via (21). As before $t-\tau$, $\tau=\tau(x,v,t_1\dots,t_N,\rho_1\dots\rho_N)$, is the first (backward) hitting time with the boundary. We remind that $\mu_\varepsilon\varepsilon = \mu\varepsilon^{-2\alpha-\lambda}$.

We set

$$h_\varepsilon(x,v,t) = h_\varepsilon^{out}(x,v,t) + h_\varepsilon^{in}(x,v,t).$$

Observe that h_ε^{out} solves

$$\begin{cases} \big(\partial_t + v\cdot\nabla_x\big)h_\varepsilon^{out}(x,v,t) = \varepsilon^{-\lambda}\,\mathrm{L}_\varepsilon h_\varepsilon^{out}(x,v,t), \\ h_\varepsilon^{out}(x,v,0) = 0, \qquad x\in\Lambda, \\ h_\varepsilon^{out}(x,v,t) = \rho_1, \quad x\in\{0\}\times\mathbb{R}, \quad v_1>0, \quad t\geq 0, \\ h_\varepsilon^{out}(x,v,t) = \rho_2, \quad x\in\{L\}\times\mathbb{R}, \quad v_1<0, \quad t\geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

Let $S_\varepsilon^0(t)$ be the Markov semigroup associated to the second sum in (26), hence $h_\varepsilon^{in}(t) = S_\varepsilon^0(t) f_0$. Moreover h_ε^S, solution of (19), satysfies, for $t_0>0$

$$h_\varepsilon^S = h_\varepsilon^{out}(t_0) + S_\varepsilon^0(t_0) h_\varepsilon^S,$$

so that we can formally express h_ε^S as the Neumann series

$$h_\varepsilon^S = \sum_{n\geq 0} (S_\varepsilon^0(t_0))^n h_\varepsilon^{out}(t_0). \tag{28}$$

Proposition 1. *There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and for any $\ell_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S_1)$ we have*

$$||G_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\ell_0||_\infty \leq \tilde{\beta}\, ||\ell_0||_\infty, \quad \tilde{\beta} < 1. \tag{29}$$

As a consequence there exists a unique stationary solution $g_\varepsilon^S \in L^\infty(\Lambda \times S_1)$ satisfying (18).

To prove Proposition 1 we also need the following result

Proposition 2. *For every $\ell_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S_1)$*

$$|| \left(G_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}t) - S_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}t) \right) \ell_0||_\infty \leq C\varepsilon^{2(\alpha-\lambda)}. \tag{30}$$

Proof. We look at the evolution of $h_\varepsilon^{in}(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}t) - g_\varepsilon^{in}(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}t)$, namely

$$\left(\partial_t + \varepsilon^{-\lambda} v\cdot\nabla_x\right)\left(h_\varepsilon^{in} - g_\varepsilon^{in}\right) = \varepsilon^{-2\lambda}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{L}}_\varepsilon h_\varepsilon^{in} - \mathscr{L} g_\varepsilon^{in}\right), \tag{31}$$

where $\mathscr{L} := \frac{\mu}{2}\Delta_{|v|}$. We observe that we can write (31) as

$$\left(\partial_t + \varepsilon^{-\lambda} v\cdot\nabla_x\right)\left(h_\varepsilon^{in} - g_\varepsilon^{in}\right) = \varepsilon^{-2\lambda}\left[\tilde{\mathrm{L}}_\varepsilon\left(h_\varepsilon^{in} - g_\varepsilon^{in}\right) + \left(\tilde{\mathrm{L}}_\varepsilon - \mathscr{L}\right) g_\varepsilon^{in}\right]. \tag{32}$$

Hence we can consider $\left(\tilde{\mathrm{L}}_\varepsilon - \mathscr{L}\right) g_\varepsilon^{in}$, in (32), as a source term. Recalling that

$$\tilde{\mathrm{L}}_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon^{in} = \mu\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}\int_{-1}^{1} d\rho\, \left[g_\varepsilon^{in}(v') - g_\varepsilon^{in}(v)\right],$$

we set

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_\varepsilon^{in}(v') - g_\varepsilon^{in}(v)\\
&= (v'-v)\cdot\nabla_{|_{S_1}} g_\varepsilon^{in}(v)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(v'-v)\otimes(v'-v)\nabla_{|_{S_1}}\nabla_{|_{S_1}} g_\varepsilon^{in}(v)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{6}(v'-v)\otimes(v'-v)\otimes(v'-v)\nabla_{|_{S_1}}\nabla_{|_{S_1}}\nabla_{|_{S_1}} g_\varepsilon^{in}(v) + R_\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

with $R_\varepsilon = \mathscr{O}(|v-v'|^4)$. Integrating with respect to v and using symmetry arguments we obtain

$$\tilde{\mathrm{L}}_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon^{in} = \mu\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}\Big\{\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{|v|} g_\varepsilon^{in}\int_{-1}^{1} d\rho\, |v'-v|^2 + \int_{-1}^{1} d\rho\, R_\varepsilon\Big\}.$$

Observe that $|v'-v|^2 = 4\sin^2\frac{\theta_\varepsilon(\rho)}{2}$. (See Figure 1). We remind that the scattering angle

$$\theta_\varepsilon(\rho) \le \pi\varepsilon^\alpha \sup_{r\in[0,1]} |r\phi'(r)| + \tilde{C}\varepsilon^{2\alpha}$$

and $\max_{\rho\in[0,1]} \theta_\varepsilon(\rho) \le C\varepsilon^\alpha$ (see [DR], Section 3, for further details). Moreover

$$B := \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{\mu}{2}\varepsilon^{-2\alpha} \int_{-1}^{1} \theta_\varepsilon(\rho)^2 d\rho$$

is the diffusion coefficient of the Landau equation, $B < \infty$, hence

$$\tilde{\mathrm{L}}_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon^{in} = B\Delta_{|v|} g_\varepsilon^{in} + \frac{\mu}{2}\varepsilon^{-2\alpha} \int_{-1}^{1} d\rho\, R_\varepsilon.$$

Therefore

$$\left\| \left(\tilde{\mathrm{L}}_\varepsilon - \mathscr{L}\right) g_\varepsilon^{in} \right\|_\infty \le C\varepsilon^{2\alpha}, \tag{33}$$

which vanishes for $\varepsilon \to 0$.

For a smooth reading we set $w_\varepsilon := h_\varepsilon^{in} - g_\varepsilon^{in}$ and $A_\varepsilon := \varepsilon^{-2\lambda}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{L}}_\varepsilon - \mathscr{L}\right) g_\varepsilon^{in}$. Hence (32) becomes

$$\left(\partial_t + \varepsilon^{-\lambda} v\cdot\nabla_x\right) w_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-2\lambda}\tilde{\mathrm{L}}_\varepsilon w_\varepsilon + A_\varepsilon.$$

Let $\tilde{S}_\varepsilon(t) := S_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}t)$ be the semigroup associated to the generator $-\varepsilon^{-\lambda}\left(v\cdot\nabla_x - \varepsilon^{-\lambda}\tilde{\mathrm{L}}_\varepsilon\right)$. By equation (32) we get

$$w_\varepsilon(t) = \tilde{S}_\varepsilon(t) w_\varepsilon(0) + \int_0^t ds\, \tilde{S}_\varepsilon(t-s) A_\varepsilon(s).$$

Since $w_\varepsilon(0) = 0$ we get

$$w_\varepsilon(t) = \int_0^t ds\, \tilde{S}_\varepsilon(t-s) A_\varepsilon(s).$$

By the usual series expansion for $\tilde{S}_\varepsilon(t)$ we obtain

$$w_\varepsilon(x,v,t) = \int_0^t ds \sum_{N\ge 0} e^{-2\mu\varepsilon^{-2\alpha-2\lambda}(t-s)} (\mu_\varepsilon\varepsilon)^N \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-\lambda}(t-s)} dt_1 \dots \int_0^{t_{N-1}} dt_N$$
$$\int_{-1}^{1} d\rho_1 \dots \int_{-1}^{1} d\rho_N\, \chi(\tau=0) A_\varepsilon(\gamma^{-\varepsilon^{-\lambda}(t-s)}(x,v), s).$$

Thanks to (33) we have that A_ε vanishes in the limit, therefore

$$\|w_\varepsilon(t)\|_\infty \le T\|A_\varepsilon(t)\|_\infty \le C\varepsilon^{2\alpha-2\lambda}$$

Hence h_ε^{in} and g_ε^{in} are asymptotically equivalent in L^∞.

Proposition 3. *Let $T > 0$. For any $t \in (0,T]$*

$$\|h_\varepsilon^{out}(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}t) - g_\varepsilon^{out}(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}t)\|_\infty \le C\varepsilon^{2(\alpha-\lambda)} \tag{34}$$

The proof is essentially the same of Proposition (2), and to let it work we observe that we need the extension procedure discussed in [BNPP], Section 5, for h_ε^{out}.

Proof (Proof of Proposition 1). From Proposition 2.1 in [BNPP], for any $\ell_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S_1)$, we have

$$||S_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\ell_0||_\infty \leq \beta\, ||\ell_0||_\infty, \quad \beta < 1. \tag{35}$$

Therefore for ε small enough

$$\begin{aligned} ||G_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\ell_0||_\infty &\leq ||(G_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}) - S_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))\ell_0||_\infty + ||S_\varepsilon^0(t)\ell_0||_\infty \\ &\leq ||(G_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}) - S_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))\ell_0||_\infty + \beta\, ||\ell_0||_\infty \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Hence, using (30) in (36), we get

$$\begin{aligned} ||G_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\ell_0||_\infty &\leq ||(G_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}) - S_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))\ell_0||_\infty + \beta\, ||\ell_0||_\infty|| \\ &\leq\ \tilde{\omega}(\varepsilon) + \beta\, ||\ell_0||_\infty < \tilde{\beta}\, ||\ell_0||_\infty, \quad \tilde{\beta} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\tilde{\omega}(\varepsilon) = C\,\varepsilon^{2(\alpha-\lambda)}$.

Finally, since $\tilde{\beta} < 1$, by (28) we get

$$||g_\varepsilon^S||_\infty \leq \frac{1}{(1-\tilde{\beta})}\, ||g_\varepsilon^{out}(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})||_\infty \leq \frac{1}{(1-\tilde{\beta})}\, \rho_2.$$

The last step is the proof of the convergence of g_ε^S to the stationary solution of the diffusion problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho - D\Delta\rho = 0 \\ \rho(x,t) = \rho_1, \quad x \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad t \geq 0 \\ \rho(x,t) = \rho_2, \quad x \in \{L\} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad t \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

with the diffusion coefficient D given by the Green-Kubo formula (15). We remind that the stationary solution ρ^S to the problem (37) has the following explicit expression

$$\rho^S(x) = \frac{\rho_1(L-x_1) + \rho_2 x_1}{L}, \tag{38}$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2)$.

By using the Hilbert expansion technique in L^2 we can prove

Proposition 4. *Let $g_\varepsilon^S \in L^\infty((0,L) \times S_1)$ be the solution to the problem* (18)*. Then*

$$g_\varepsilon^S \to \rho^S \tag{39}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$, where $\rho^S(x)$ is given by (38)*. The convergence is in $L^2((0,L) \times S_1)$.*

For the proof we refer to [BNPP], Section 4.2. This concludes our analysis of the Markov part of the proof.

Recalling the expression (6) for the one-particle correlation function f_ε, we introduce a decomposition analogous to those ones used for $g_\varepsilon(t)$ and $h_\varepsilon(t)$, namely

$$f_\varepsilon^{out}(x,v,t) := \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon[f_B(T_{\mathbf{c}_N}^{-(t-\tau)}(x,v))\chi(\tau>0)] \tag{40}$$

and

$$f_\varepsilon^{in}(x,v,t) := \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon[f_0(T_{\mathbf{c}_N}^{-t}(x,v))\chi(\tau=0)], \tag{41}$$

so that

$$f_\varepsilon(x,v,t) = f_\varepsilon^{out}(x,v,t) + f_\varepsilon^{in}(x,v,t).$$

Here f_ε^{out} is the contribution due to the trajectories that do leave Λ at times smaller than t, while f_ε^{in} is the contribution due to the trajectories that stay internal to Λ. We introduce the flow $F_\varepsilon^0(t)$ such that

$$(F_\varepsilon^0(t)\ell)(x,v) = \mathbb{E}_\varepsilon[\ell(T_{\mathbf{c}_N}^{-t}(x,v))\chi(\tau=0)], \quad \ell \in L^\infty(\Lambda\times S_1)$$

and remark that F_ε^0 is just the dynamics "inside" Λ. In particular $f_\varepsilon^{in}(t) = F_\varepsilon^0(t) f_0$.

To detect the stationary solution f_ε^S for the microscopic dynamics we proceed as for the Boltzmann evolution (see (8)) by setting, for $t_0 > 0$,

$$f_\varepsilon^S = f_\varepsilon^{out}(t_0) + F_\varepsilon^0(t_0) f_\varepsilon^S$$

and we can formally express the stationary solution as the Neumann series

$$f_\varepsilon^S = \sum_{n\geq 0} (F_\varepsilon^0(t_0))^n f_\varepsilon^{out}(t_0). \tag{42}$$

To show the convergence of the series (42) and hence existence of f_ε^S we first need the following Propositions.

Proposition 5. *Let $T > 0$. For any $t \in (0,T]$*

$$\|f_\varepsilon^{out}(t) - h_\varepsilon^{out}(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Lambda\times S_1)} \leq C\varepsilon^\gamma t^3, \tag{43}$$

where h_ε^{out} solves (27) and $\gamma = 1 - 8(\alpha - \frac{\lambda}{2})$.

Proposition 6. *For every $\ell_0 \in L^\infty(\Lambda\times S_1)$*

$$||\left(F_\varepsilon^0(t) - S_\varepsilon^0(t)\right)\ell_0||_\infty \leq C||\ell_0||_\infty \varepsilon^\gamma t^3, \quad \forall t\in[0,T], \tag{44}$$

where $\gamma = 1 - 8(\alpha - \frac{\lambda}{2})$.

See Section 5 and Section 6 in [BNP], and Section 5 in [BNPP] for the proof. As a corollary we can prove

Proposition 7. *For ε sufficiently small there exists a unique stationary solution $f_\varepsilon^S \in L^\infty(\Lambda \times S_1)$ satisfying* (8)*. Moreover*

$$\|f_\varepsilon^S - g_\varepsilon^S\|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon^{\gamma-3\lambda}, \tag{45}$$

where $\gamma = 1 - 8(\alpha - \frac{\lambda}{2})$.

Proof. We prove the existence and uniqueness of the stationary solution by showing that the Neumann series (42) converges, namely

$$||F_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})f_0||_\infty \leq \beta' ||f_0||_\infty, \quad \beta' < 1. \tag{46}$$

This implies

$$||f_\varepsilon^S||_\infty \leq \frac{1}{(1-\beta')} \, ||f_\varepsilon^{out}(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})||_\infty \leq \frac{1}{(1-\beta')} \, \rho_2, \quad \beta' < 1.$$

In fact, since

$$||F_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})f_0||_\infty \leq || \left(F_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}) - S_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}) \right) f_0||_\infty + ||S_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})f_0||_\infty,$$

thanks to 6 and Propositions 2.1 in [BNPP] we get

$$\begin{aligned} ||F_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})f_0||_\infty &\leq ||f_0||_\infty C\varepsilon^{\gamma-3\lambda} + ||S_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})f_0||_\infty \\ &\leq (C\varepsilon^{\gamma-3\lambda} + \beta)||f_0||_\infty \leq \beta' ||f_0||_\infty, \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

with $\beta' < 1$, for ε sufficiently small (remind that $\varepsilon^{\gamma-3\lambda} \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$). This guarantees the existence and uniqueness of the microscopic stationary solution f_ε^S.

In order to prove (45) we observe that

$$\|f_\varepsilon^S - g_\varepsilon^S\|_\infty \leq \|f_\varepsilon^S - h_\varepsilon^S\|_\infty + \|h_\varepsilon^S - g_\varepsilon^S\|_\infty.$$

We compare the two Neumann series representing f_ε^S and h_ε^S,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_\varepsilon^S - h_\varepsilon^S\|_\infty &= \| \sum_{n\geq 0} \left((F_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^n f_\varepsilon^{out}(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}) - (S_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^n h_\varepsilon^{out}(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}) \right) \|_\infty \\ &\leq \sum_{n\geq 0} \|(F_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^n (f_\varepsilon^{out}(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}) - h_\varepsilon^{out}(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))\|_\infty \\ &+ \sum_{n\geq 0} \| \left((F_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^n - (S_\varepsilon^0(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^n \right) h_\varepsilon^{out}(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\|_\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

By (47), using Proposition 5, the first sum on the right hand side of (48) is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{1-\beta'} \|f_\varepsilon^{out}(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}) - h_\varepsilon^{out}(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon^{\gamma-3\lambda}.$$

As regard to the second sum on the right hand side of (48) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n\geq 0} \|\big((F^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})^n - (S^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^n\big)h^{out}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\|_\infty \\
&\leq \sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|(F^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^{n-k-1}\big(F^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}) - S^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\big)(S^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^k h^{out}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\|_\infty \\
&\leq \sum_{k,\ell\geq 0} \|(F^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^{\ell}\big(F^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}) - S^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\big)(S^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^k h^{out}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\|_\infty \\
&\leq C\,\|h^{out}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\|_\infty\,\varepsilon^{\gamma-3\lambda},
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

by virtue of (29), (47) and (44).

We compare the two Neumann series representing h^S_ε and g^S_ε,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|h^S_\varepsilon - g^S_\varepsilon\|_\infty &= \|\sum_{n\geq 0}\big((S^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^n h^{out}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}) - (G^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^n g^{out}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\big)\|_\infty \\
&\leq \sum_{n\geq 0}\|(S^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^n(h^{out}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}) - g^{out}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))\|_\infty \\
&\quad + \sum_{n\geq 0}\|\big((S^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^n - (G^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^n\big)g^{out}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\|_\infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

By using Proposition 3 the first sum on the right hand side of (50) is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{1-\beta'}\|h^{out}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}) - g^{out}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon^{2(\alpha-\lambda)}.$$

As regard to the second sum on the right hand side of (50) by means of the same trick used in (49) we get

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\|\big((S^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^n - (G^0_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda}))^n\big)g^{out}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\|_\infty \leq C\,\|g^{out}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-\lambda})\|_\infty\,\varepsilon^{2(\alpha-\lambda)}.$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 7.

Hence the proof of Theorem 1 follows from Proposition 4 and Proposition 7. We conclude by proving Theorem 2 which actually is a Corollary of the previous analysis.

Proof (Proof of Theorem 2). By standard computations (see e.g. Section [BNPP], Section 4.2) we have

$$g^S_\varepsilon = \rho^S + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{-\lambda}}g^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{-\lambda}}R_\varepsilon,$$

where

$$g^{(1)}(v) = \mathscr{L}^{-1}(v\cdot\nabla_x\rho^S) = \frac{\rho_2-\rho_1}{L}\mathscr{L}^{-1}(v_1)$$

and, as we see in [BNPP], Section 4.2, $R_\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\lambda}{2}})$ in $L^2((0,L)\times S_1)$. Therefore, since $\int_{S_1} v\rho^S dv = 0$,

$$\varepsilon^{-\lambda}\int_{S_1} v g^S_\varepsilon(x,v)dv = D\nabla_x\rho^S + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}), \tag{51}$$

where D is given by (15). By Theorem 1 the right hand side of (51) is close to $D\nabla_x \rho_\varepsilon^S$ in $\mathscr{D}'((0,L)\times S_1)$, where ρ_ε^S is given by (13). On the other hand, by Proposition 7 and Assumption 1, the left hand side of (51) is close in $L^\infty((0,L)\times S_1)$ to $J_\varepsilon^S(x)$ defined in (12). This concludes the proof of (14). Moreover (16) and (17) follow by (51).

References

BNP. G. Basile, A. Nota, and M. Pulvirenti. A diffusion limit for a test particle in a random distribution of scatterers. *J. Stat. Phys.* **155**, 1087-1111, 2014.

BNPP. G. Basile, A. Nota, F. Pezzotti and M. Pulvirenti. Derivation of the Fick's law for the Lorentz model in a low density regime. arXiv: 1404.4186. (To appear in *Comm. Math. Phys.*)

DR. L. Desvillettes and V. Ricci. A rigorous derivation of a linear kinetic equation of Fokker–Planck type in the limit of grazing collisions. *J. Stat. Phys.* **104**, 1173–1189, 2001.

ESY. L. Erdos, M. Salmhofer, H.-T. Yau. Quantum diffusion of the random Schroedinger evolution in the scaling limit. *Acta Math.* **200**, 2, 211-277, 2008.

LS. J.L. Lebowitz and H. Spohn. Transport properties of the Lorentz Gas: Fourier's law. *J. Stat. Phys.* **19**, 633-654, 1978.

LS1. J.L. Lebowitz and H. Spohn. Microscopic basis for Fick's law for self-diffusion. *J. Stat. Phys.* **28**, 539-556, 1982.

LS2. J.L. Lebowitz and H. Spohn. Steady state self-diffusion at low density. *J. Stat. Phys.* **29**, 539-556, 1982.